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Introduction
Allergological risk has gained in recent years an ever-increasing importance in professional respiratory disease; substances capable of causing allergic respiratory diseases are more numerous than those that can cause pneumoconiosis, thus leading to bronchial asthma, the most fearsome professional respiratory disease.1-3 As a result, the interest of occupational medicine has also increased for work-related allergic rhinitis (WRAR), an entity which was considered to be of little clinical interest in the past.4-6

WRAR is a chronic inflammatory disease of the upper respiratory tract with allergic basis characterized by persistent or recurrent symptoms such as itching, sneezing, and nasal congestion and anterior rhinorrhea, and can be associates to other respiratory illnesses, such as inflammation of paranasal sinuses, olfactory disorders, bronchial asthma,7,8 and other generic clinical conditions, such as headaches or conjunctivitis. In WRAR, symptoms occur only after exposure to specific factors in the working environment and significantly affect the quality of life and work performance of workers.5-7 In many cases, WRAR precedes or accompanies the onset of bronchial hyper responsiveness, and acts as the first indicator of an allergy in the respiratory system. This allows workers to notice the first symptoms of allergic rhinitis from a particular working environment before the onset of professional bronchial asthma.9-11

This work is aimed at providing an update on epidemiology, pathogenesis, clinical symptoms, diagnosis and treatment of WRAR, also presenting a personal case series on 1402 patients.

Epidemiology
The burden of rhinitis in the adult population is estimated between 10 and 30%.12-14 The International Study on Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC) showed a prevalence in children between 0.8% and 14.9% in 6–7 year olds and between 1.4% and 39.7% in 13–14 year olds.15 There is no equivalent to ISAAC for adults; national surveys show prevalence rates of rhinitis of between 5.9% and 29% with a mean of 16%. Perennial rhinitis is probably more common in adults than in children.12-14

The overall incidence of professional rhinitis has been estimated on about 7-12% of the general working population;14 according to Siracusa, WRAR has an incidence of 2-87% of workers exposed to high-molecular weight agents (HMW) and 3-48% of workers exposed to low-molecular weight agents (LMW).16 However, overall prevalence of rhinitis related to workspace if probably underestimated due to the heterogeneous diagnostic criteria used by different researchers.17

Pathophysiological Mechanisms
The pathophysiological mechanisms underlying allergic rhinitis are started by an inflammatory response in the nasal mucosa composed of a rapid immunoglobulin-E(IgE)-mediated mast cell response followed by a late-phase response in which eosinophils, basophils and T cells play a central role. Involved T cells have been shown to express a Th2 cytokine profile with interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-5; cytokines regulating the Th2 response have been recently shown in the allergic rhinitis pathogenic mechanisms.18

Allergens at the basis of WRAR can be divided into HMW and LMW agents. The former are more relevant in WRAR as their pathophysologic mechanisms are based on the capacity of agents to induce immune responses through IgE dependent reactions. LMW agents’ mechanisms of action are less known and have been shown to induce allergic response through other mechanisms such as non-immunomediated degranulation of mast cells.3,8-18 High and Low-molecular weight occupational agents that may induce WRAR are listed in Table 1.

There are many humoral and cellular immune mechanisms in the background of WRAR, which are caused by exposure to allergens in the work environment and lead to specific hypersensitivity. Symptoms usually appear after latency in predisposed individuals and return after each subsequent exposure or persist continuously, depending on the frequency of exposure.18-20

The latency between initial exposure and first symptoms of WRAR has been reported to range from 1 month to 18 years, with an average of 2-3 years.21 When sensitized, nasal symptoms occur within minutes after exposure for HMW allergens and to up to several hours for LMW allergens.7 The development of WRAR is dependent on the level and duration of exposure to allergens.22

Clinical Symptoms
WRAR symptoms are the same of non-work related allergic rhinitis, and include nasal mucus, rhinorrhea, cough, pruritus and...
reduced nasal flows following exposure to allergens. A reduction of the sense of smell has also been often reported in WRAR with high impact on quality of life (QoL), although very little has been published about the combination of olfactory impairment, allergic rhinitis and QoL. Symptoms can be worsened in a workplace environment by a variety of irritating factors, such as chemical vapors, dust, fluids and non-physiologic environmental temperature exposure.

The development of WRAR is also linked to the duration and magnitude of exposure to allergens, mostly HMW; such allergens, although may be present also outside the working environment, have a much higher concentration in working environments. Symptoms are also related to individual factors such as sex and age. Atopy is the main factor playing a role in individual responsiveness to allergen exposure, and acts as a predisposing factor toward developing certain allergic hypersensitivity reactions; most reports refer to the role of atopy as a risk factor for the development of occupational asthma mainly in terms of exposure to HMW. Its association with the development of occupational rhinitis has been demonstrated in several studies.

Unrecognized occupational exposure may lead to resistance to treatment and to development of complications such as nasal polyposis, middle ear chronic diseases, lower respiratory tract infections, and sleep disorders.

### The Association with Asthma

A strong association has been demonstrated between WRAR and occupational asthma (OA). Many authors have shown that rhinitis is an independent risk factor for bronchial asthma in both atopic and non-atopic individuals, and that asthma risk increases with duration and symptom severity. Data from the literature indicate that the number of WRAR cases is 2-4 times greater than OA. Malo reported that most patients diagnosed with OA also suffer from occupational rhinitis; the prevalence of symptoms was not different for HMW and LMW agents, although rhinitis was more intense for HMW than for LMW.

Other studies confirm that the presence of WRAR increases the risk of developing OA; the importance of this association is in early diagnosis and prevention of secondary OA. A prospective study on natural history after professional rhinitis by Karjalainen showed that during the 12-year follow-up, 11.6% of the patients with established work-related rhinitis developed OA, compared to 3.1% of the control group.

### Diagnosis

The diagnosis of WRAR is based on the demonstration of typical rhinitis symptoms that are exacerbated in the workplace environment, thus proving its association with occupational exposure, and excluding other conditions that may produce similar symptoms. Diagnosis is based on anamnestic interview, workplace environment study, clinical and instrumental examination, nasal cytology, and specific allergological tests.

Anamnesis and workplace examination include analysis of medical documentation and interview with patient and, possibly, employer, with the aim to investigate individual medical history and timing and degree of exposure.

Nasal examination includes rhinoscopy, with assessment of nasal septum and mucous membranes, evaluating macroscopic changes in the nasal mucosa and, especially, in the lower turbinate area. Instrumental evaluation of nasal flows should be performed through rhinomanometry, to assess nasal resistances and air flow volumes.

Nasal cytology has a role in determination of allergic nature of a rhinitis, assessing the presence of inflammatory cells and markers within the nasal mucosa and its secretions; however, alterations in cytology may be present in non-allergic conditions causing nasal inflammation.

Testing for reaction to specific allergens can be helpful in confirming the diagnosis of allergic rhinitis and in the determination of specific allergic triggers. Allergy skin tests are an in vivo method to determine immediate IgE-mediated hypersensitivity to specific allergens.

### Table 1. High and Low-molecular weight occupational agents that may induce allergic rhinitis (adapted from EAACI Task Force on Occupational Rhinitis Position Paper)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agents</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>High molecular weight agents</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory animals</td>
<td>Laboratory workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal-derived allergens</td>
<td>Swine confinement workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insects and mites</td>
<td>Laboratory workers, farm workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain dust</td>
<td>Grain elevators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flour</td>
<td>Bakers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latex</td>
<td>Hospital workers, textile factory workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other plant allergens</td>
<td>Tobacco, carpet, hot pepper, tea, coffee, cocoa, dried fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological enzymes</td>
<td>Pharmaceutical and detergent industries workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and seafood proteins</td>
<td>Trout, prawn, shrimp, crab and clam workers; aquarists and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fish-food factory workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low molecular weight agents</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diisocyanates</td>
<td>Painters, urethane mould workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anhydrides</td>
<td>Epoxy resin production, chemical workers, electric condenser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood dust</td>
<td>Carpenter and furniture making workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals (platinum)</td>
<td>Platinum refinery workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals</td>
<td>Reactive dye, synthetic fiber, persulphate, hairdressing,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pulp and paper, shoe manufacturing workers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In vitro allergy tests allow measurement of the amount of specific IgE to individual allergens in a blood sample, correlating the amount of specific IgE produced to a particular allergen to the allergic sensitivity to that factor. The measurement of the total level of IgE in the blood is neither sensitive nor specific for allergic rhinitis.\textsuperscript{2,24,41,52} Immunological tests are part of the diagnostic procedure for WRAR, although may return false positive results and, as previously stated, are not unique indicators of the workplace exposure to allergens.\textsuperscript{50,53-55} Serum IgE assay is a relevant test in allergy examination; however, the results from previous studies showed no significant differences in allergen-specific IgE concentrations in workers compared to controls who were not previously exposed to organic dust. Therefore, allergen molecular diagnostics may represent a useful test in allergy diagnostic process, but deserves caution in particular circumstances.\textsuperscript{2,40,53-58}

Inhaled or intranasal tests using professional allergens are the gold standard in WRAR diagnosis. They can be performed at work or in laboratory and represent an objective method for assessing the presence of WRAR and the type of allergen at its base.\textsuperscript{1,5,13,49}

## Treatment
The most effective therapy for WRAR is avoidance or reduction of exposure by workers to allergens that have caused it. This can be accomplished through workplace interventions, such as replacement of causative substances, the use of environment ventilation and personal protective equipment, and changing worker’s duties. Also, a role is played by education of employees and employers.\textsuperscript{2,18,40,59,60}

Pharmacological treatment is no different from that of non-professional allergic rhinitis\textsuperscript{27,60} and should be complementary to workplace intervention. Pharmacotherapy is based on the administration of antihistamines and on the use of nasal corticosteroids, and only in the most serious cases, of systemic corticosteroid cycles.

The specific immunotherapy, commonly used in allergic rhinitis, is only indicated in certain types of WRAR such as allergy to laboratory animals.\textsuperscript{61-64}

## Our Clinical Experience
We conducted a retrospective analysis on 1402 consecutive patients presenting to the Otolaryngology service of the S. Eugenio Hospital in Rome, Italy from July 2005 to July 2016 with a diagnosis of allergic rhinitis. The diagnostic protocol included an accurate history, physical examination and rhinoscopy with the use of nasal fibroscopy, and skin allergy tests and of the stop-recovery test in case of negativity of previous tests.

Out of 1402 cases with a diagnosis of allergic rhinitis, 381 (27.2\%) were found to have a correlation with workplace environment allergens, thus were related to occupational activity and diagnosed with WRAR. Occupational categories mostly represented among WRAR patients are listed in Table 2. In details, the sample included 142 (37.4\%) employees in the food industry (food, catering, mill workers, bakers, pastry makers); 57 (15.1\%) woodworkers (industry and crafts); 46 (12.2\%) employees in the health and pharmaceutical sector; 42 (11.1\%) cosmetics (industry, hairdressers, aesthetics); 39 (10.1\%) agriculture and floriculture (production and sales); 40 (10.2\%) veterinarians, and 15 (3.9\%) bodyworkers and varnishing machines.

## Conclusions
Prevention is the key element in WRAR, including a range of pro-therapeutic activities at both the primary and secondary prevention stages. These should include interventions aimed to reduce the level of exposure of workers to etiologic factors, such as environmental changes in the workplace, the use of appropriate protective equipment, and the information among employees about presence, symptoms and risks of WRAR. Medical surveillance to determine the individual risk factors for development of WRAR should be considered. The most effective therapy of WRAR is avoidance or reduction of exposure by workers to allergens that have caused it.

Another aspect worth investigation is assessment of QoL in WRAR. It has been proved that the QoL deteriorates after, for example, the onset of a loss of smell that often follows WRAR, and this include adverse effects on appreciation of food, interference with daily routine, physical health, profession, emotional stability, leisure and a general worsening in well-being. Very little has been published about the combination of olfactory impairment, allergic rhinitis and QoL; this encourages further studies in the field especially focusing on WRAR.

It is therefore important to adequately assess, communicate and manage risks in occupational chemical exposure settings with the aim to protect workers and the necessity to introduce periodic health examinations programs focusing on workers to monitor health and well-being and improve working conditions and the working environment.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of job</th>
<th>Prevalence of allergic rhinitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food industry workers</td>
<td>Food industry workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodworkers</td>
<td>Woodworkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers in the health and pharmaceutical sectors</td>
<td>Workers in the health and pharmaceutical sectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmetic and aesthetic workers</td>
<td>Cosmetic and aesthetic workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and floriculture</td>
<td>Agriculture and floriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock farmers and veterinarians</td>
<td>Livestock farmers and veterinarians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car workers and varnishing machines</td>
<td>Car workers and varnishing machines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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